



Cigna Medical Coverage Policy

**Subject Bone Growth Stimulators:
Electrical (Invasive,
Noninvasive), Ultrasound**

**Effective Date 5/15/2016
Next Review Date 4/15/2017
Coverage Policy Number 0084**

Table of Contents

Coverage Policy	1
General Background	3
Coding/Billing Information	8
References	9

Related Coverage Resources

- [Bone Graft Substitutes for Use in Bone Repair](#)
- [Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy, Systemic and Topical](#)
- [Lumbar Fusion for Spinal Instability and Degenerative Disc Conditions, including Sacroiliac Fusion](#)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE

The following Coverage Policy applies to health benefit plans administered by Cigna companies. Coverage Policies are intended to provide guidance in interpreting certain **standard** Cigna benefit plans. Please note, the terms of a customer's particular benefit plan document [Group Service Agreement, Evidence of Coverage, Certificate of Coverage, Summary Plan Description (SPD) or similar plan document] may differ significantly from the standard benefit plans upon which these Coverage Policies are based. For example, a customer's benefit plan document may contain a specific exclusion related to a topic addressed in a Coverage Policy. In the event of a conflict, a customer's benefit plan document **always supersedes** the information in the Coverage Policies. In the absence of a controlling federal or state coverage mandate, benefits are ultimately determined by the terms of the applicable benefit plan document. Coverage determinations in each specific instance require consideration of 1) the terms of the applicable benefit plan document in effect on the date of service; 2) any applicable laws/regulations; 3) any relevant collateral source materials including Coverage Policies and; 4) the specific facts of the particular situation. Coverage Policies relate exclusively to the administration of health benefit plans. Coverage Policies are not recommendations for treatment and should never be used as treatment guidelines. In certain markets, delegated vendor guidelines may be used to support medical necessity and other coverage determinations. Proprietary information of Cigna. Copyright ©2016 Cigna

Coverage Policy

Coverage for ultrasound and noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulators is subject to the terms, conditions and limitations of the applicable benefit plan's Durable Medical Equipment (DME) benefit and schedule of copayments. Invasive bone growth stimulators are considered internal medical devices and, therefore, are covered under the core medical benefits of many plans. Please refer to the applicable benefit plan document to determine benefit availability and the terms, conditions and limitations of coverage.

If coverage is available for bone growth stimulators, the following conditions of coverage apply.

ULTRASOUND BONE GROWTH STIMULATOR (HCPCS code E0760)

Cigna covers an ultrasound bone growth stimulator as medically necessary for ANY of the following indications:

- As an adjunct to closed reduction and immobilization for ANY of the following acute fracture indications:
 - closed or grade I open, tibial diaphyseal fractures
 - closed fractures of the distal radius (Colles' fracture)
 - closed fractures when there is suspected high risk for delayed fracture healing or nonunion as a result of either of the following:
 - poor blood supply due to anatomical location (e.g., scaphoid, 5th metatarsal)

- at least one comorbidity where bone healing is likely to be compromised (e.g., smoking, diabetes, renal disease)
- Nonunion of fractures when ALL of the following criteria are met:
 - treatment is for nonunion of bones other than the skull or vertebrae (e.g., radius, ulna, humerus, clavicle, tibia, femur, fibula, carpal, metacarpal, tarsal, or metatarsal)
 - fracture gap is ≤ 1 cm
 - nonunion is not related/secondary to malignancy
 - it is \geq three months from the date of injury or initial treatment
 - fracture nonunion is documented by at least two sets of appropriate imaging studies separated by a minimum of 90 days confirming that clinically significant fracture healing has not occurred
- Nonunion of a stress fracture when ALL of the following criteria are met:
 - it is \geq three months from initial identification of the stress fracture
 - failure of a minimum of 90 days of conventional, nonsurgical management (e.g., rest, bracing)
 - radiograph imaging studies at least 90 days from the initial identification of the stress fracture demonstrates a fracture line that has not healed

NONCOVERED: ULTRASOUND

Cigna does not cover an ultrasound bone growth stimulator for ANY other indication, including ANY of the following, because it is considered experimental, investigational or unproven:

- as part of the acute treatment (i.e., preoperative, immediately postoperative) of any fracture requiring open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF)
- fresh fractures (other than for the above listed indications)
- stress fracture

ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATOR: NON-SPINAL (HCPCS code E0747, E0749)

Cigna covers an electrical bone growth stimulator (non-invasive or invasive) as medically necessary for ANY of the following indications:

- Treatment of a fracture nonunion, when ALL of the following criteria are met:
 - nonunion is located in a long bone (i.e., clavicle, humerus, radius, ulna, femur, tibia, fibula, metacarpal or metatarsal bone) or the carpal and tarsal bones
 - fracture gap is ≤ 1 cm
 - fracture nonunion is documented by at least two sets of appropriate imaging studies separated by a minimum of 90 days confirming that clinically significant fracture healing has not occurred
- When used in conjunction with surgical intervention for the treatment of an established fracture nonunion.
- Failed fusion of a joint other than the spine when a minimum of three months has elapsed since the joint fusion was performed.
- Nonunion of a stress fracture when ALL of the following criteria are met:
 - it is \geq three months from initial identification of the stress fracture
 - failure of a minimum of 90 days of conventional, nonsurgical management (e.g., rest, bracing)
 - radiograph imaging studies at least 90 days from the initial identification of the stress fracture demonstrates a fracture line that has not healed

NONCOVERED: ELECTRICAL NON-SPINAL

Cigna does not cover the use of an electrical bone growth stimulator (non-invasive or invasive) for ANY other indication, including ANY of the following, because it is considered experimental, investigational or unproven:

- treatment of fresh fractures
- when used to enhance healing of fractures that are considered to be at high risk for delayed union or nonunion (e.g., smoking, diabetes, renal disease)
- stress fracture

ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATOR: SPINAL (HCPCS Codes E0748, E0749)

Cigna covers an invasive or noninvasive spinal electrical bone growth stimulator as an adjunct to lumbar spinal fusion surgery as medically necessary for ANY of the following indications associated with an increased risk for fusion failure:

- prior spinal fusion at the same lumbar level (i.e., repeat spinal fusion)
- multi-level lumbar fusion (i.e., > 1 level)
- in the presence of any risk factor for nonhealing (e.g., smoking, diabetes, renal disease)

Cigna covers a noninvasive spinal electrical bone growth stimulator as medically necessary for treatment of a failed lumbar fusion when recent imaging confirms nonunion and it has been at least nine months since the lumbar fusion surgery.

NONCOVERED: ELECTRICAL SPINAL/NON-SPINAL

Cigna does not cover the use of an electrical bone growth stimulator (spinal, non-spinal, invasive, non-invasive) for ANY other indication, including the following, because it is considered experimental, investigational or unproven (this list may not be all inclusive):

- toe fracture
- sesamoid fracture
- avulsion fracture
- osteochondral lesion
- displaced fractures with malalignment
- synovial pseudoarthrosis
- the bone gap is either > 1cm or > one-half the diameter of the bone
- pars interarticularis defect (i.e., spondylolysis, spondylolisthesis)
- as an adjunct to cervical spinal fusion surgery
- stress fracture

General Background

Bones are divided into four major categories. Long bones are found in the extremities and are comprised of a shaft (i.e., diaphysis) and two ends (i.e., epiphyses). Long bones, which form levers, support weight and provide for motion, and include the humerus, radius, ulna, femur, tibia and fibula. Other bones such as the clavicle, metacarpals, and metatarsals are also considered long bones. Short bones, which include the tarsal bones in the foot and carpal bones in the hand, are cube-shaped and are designed for strength. Flat bones provide protection and areas for muscle attachment and include the cranial bones, sternum, ribs, and the scapulae. Irregular bones include the vertebrae, sacrum, coccyx and some facial bones. Sesamoid bones are a type of short bone embedded within a joint capsule or tendon.

Bone healing is a complex process dependent on a variety of factors. The rate of bone repair and composition of tissue varies depending on type of bone fractured, the extent of the bone and soft tissue damage, the adequacy of the blood supply, and the degree of separation between bone ends. The individual's general health

and nutritional status also play a significant role in bone healing. The presence of infection may adversely affect healing. Diminished blood flow to the fracture site will often suppress the healing response; factors that can cause diminished blood flow include heavy smoking, malnutrition, diabetes, alcoholism, peripheral vascular disease, increasing age, and the use of some medications such as steroids. Other characteristics such as high grade trauma, high grade and open fractures, comminution of the fracture, vertical or oblique fracture pattern, and fracture displacement may also contribute to poor healing of bone (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality [AHRQ], 2005).

In addition, depending on the type of bone, some bones are more prone to poor healing responses. According to the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS), toe bones have inherent stability and blood supply. They typically heal with little or no intervention. Bones such as the upper thigh (i.e., femur head and neck) and small wrist bones such as the scaphoid, have a limited blood supply, which can be destroyed if the bones are broken. Bones such as the tibia have a moderate blood supply; however, severe trauma and injury can destroy the internal blood supply or the external supply from overlying skin and muscle (AAOS, 2005). Fracture of the fifth metatarsal (i.e., Jones fracture) frequently results in delayed healing and nonunion despite surgical treatment, generally due to poor blood supply of the proximal metaphyseal diaphyseal region (Nunley, 2001).

While healing time varies approximately five to ten percent of fractures result in nonunion or delayed union. Delayed union occurs when the healing process is impaired and has not progressed at an average rate for the site and the type of fracture. Delayed union may be evidenced by slow radiographic progress and continued pain and mobility at the fracture site. A nonunion occurs when bone healing has stopped prematurely and will not likely continue without medical intervention.

Methods available to evaluate healing and nonunion of bones include radiographs, fluoroscopy, bone scintigraphy and bone scanning. Occasionally, computed tomography (CT) scans, x-ray tomograms and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may be used to confirm nonunion. Nonunion of long bone fractures (i.e., clavicle, humerus, radius, ulna, femur, tibia, fibula, metatarsals, metacarpals) is considered to exist when a minimum of two sets of radiographs, obtained prior to starting treatment, separated by a minimum of 90 days, show no evidence of fracture healing between the two sets of radiographs (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services [CMS], 2000). Fracture nonunion of short bones, such as the carpal and tarsal bones (e.g., talus, scaphoid, calcaneus) is present when the nonunion is evident throughout the entire body of the bone.

Healing of bone begins at the time of injury. In order for healing of bone to occur there needs to be adequate blood supply, stabilization and new tissue formation. The application of physical fields (magnetic, electrical, sonic) such as that from bone growth stimulators, has been shown to be an effective treatment option to enhance bone growth and healing (AAOS, 2007). Selecting the type of device, the timing of application, and the duration of use depends on numerous factors. While there is no consensus regarding exact timing for application of devices such as the ultrasound or noninvasive electrical device, application of these devices should occur within a reasonable timeframe in order to enhance the normal healing process.

Bone growth stimulators are only indicated for use in individuals who are skeletally mature. A person is said to be skeletally mature when all bone growth is complete; the cartilage cells of the growth plate cease to proliferate, the growth plate becomes thinner, is replaced by bone and disappears, and the epiphysis is "closed" or fused with the shaft.

Ultrasound Bone Growth Stimulators

Ultrasound bone growth stimulation is a noninvasive intervention, designed to transmit low-density, pulsed, high-frequency acoustic pressure waves to accelerate healing of fresh fractures and to promote healing of delayed unions and nonunions that are refractory to standard treatment. Low-intensity ultrasound also has been suggested to enhance healing of fractures that occur in patients with diseases such as diabetes, vascular insufficiency, and osteoporosis, and those taking medications such as steroids, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), or calcium channel blockers (Wood, 2003). The exact mechanism for fracture healing is unclear; however, it is thought that ultrasound causes biochemical changes at the cellular level to accelerate bone formation. Some authors hypothesize that ultrasound increases blood flow to the capillaries, enhancing cellular interaction (Rubin, et al., 2001). The device is intended to be used by the patient at home. It is applied 20–30 minutes daily until healing has occurred.

According to the manufacturer the safety and effectiveness of ultrasound bone growth stimulation has not been established for fracture locations other than the distal radius or tibial diaphysis; fractures with post-reduction displacements of more than 50%; fractures that are open Grade II or III; fractures that require surgical intervention or external fixation; or for fractures that are not sufficiently stable for closed reduction and cast immobilization. Individuals who are not skeletally mature or who are pregnant/nursing are not candidates for this therapy. Ultrasound bone growth stimulation is also not indicated for fractures related to bone pathology or malignancy (Exogen, 2000).

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA): Ultrasound bone growth stimulators are premarket approved (PMA) by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as class III devices. Smith and Nephew, Inc. (Nashville, TN) received the original PMA from the FDA for the Sonic Accelerated Fracture Healing System (SAFHS[®]) Model 2A. However, since that time supplemental approvals have been granted with various changes incorporated into the device. The device is now known as the Exogen device (i.e., 2000, 3000, 4000). Indications for intended use, based on FDA labeling for the specific devices and evidence in the peer-reviewed published scientific literature, include fresh closed Colles' fracture, fresh closed or open tibial diaphysis fractures and nonunions. Device labeling excludes nonunions of the skull or vertebrae (FDA, 2000).

Literature Review: Evidence in the published, peer-reviewed scientific literature, including a patient registry, indicates that ultrasound has been shown to be effective in promoting healing of fresh fractures of the tibia and radial fractures (Heckman, et al., 1994; Kristiansen, et al., 1997; Cook, et al., 1997). There is also evidence that ultrasound is effective in accelerating healing for nonunion and delayed union of various other fracture sites including the tibia, femur, scaphoid, humerus, clavicle, and metatarsals and metacarpals (Nolte, et al., 2001; Rubin, et al., 2001). In a published review, Rubin et al. (2001) acknowledged ultrasound is a reasonable treatment for fractures that have delayed healing, for those not yet on a normal course of healing, and for those patients whose metabolic status may be compromised by disease or medication. Some clinical outcomes are mixed but there is evidence to support the effectiveness of ultrasound when used for treatment of stress fractures, such as those of the tibia shaft (Bederka, Amendola, 2009). Published systematic reviews and technology assessments have concluded the evidence is moderate to low quality and conflicting, however the evidence does support efficacy for these uses (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality [AHRQ], 2005; Busse, et al., 2009; Dijkman, et al., 2009; Washington State Health Care Authority, 2009). One published meta-analysis found a statistically significant pooled mean reduction in radiographic healing time of 33.6% with the use of ultrasound stimulation devices overall (Busse, et al., 2009). In another systematic review the authors noted an average healing rate of 87% among trials evaluating low intensity ultrasound for treatment of nonunion (Dijkman, et al., 2009). The evidence is not sufficient to support low-intensity pulsed ultrasound for the prevention of postmenopausal bone loss (Leung, et al., 2004).

Electrical Bone Growth Stimulators

Electrical bone growth stimulators fall into one of three categories: noninvasive, invasive or semi-invasive. Indications for use are based upon FDA labeling for specific devices and evidence in the peer-reviewed published scientific literature. Most studies evaluating the use of electrical stimulation have focused on nonunion and lumbar or lumbosacral spinal fusion. Nevertheless data to support improved clinical outcomes for patients undergoing spinal fusion and who are not considered high risk for failed fusion is inadequate. A majority of the patients involved in clinical trials utilizing the device as an adjunct to lumbar or lumbosacral spinal fusion were considered high risk for failed fusion.

Although indications vary among devices, the use of these devices for the treatment of fresh (acute) fractures has not been clearly demonstrated (Hanneman, et al., 2012; Adie, et al., 2011; Moucha, Einhorn, 2003 and is not mentioned in FDA labeling. Evidence evaluating the use of electrical stimulation devices for the treatment of pars fractures (i.e., spondylolysis, spondylolisthesis) is lacking; published evidence consists of few small retrospective case series and case reports (Lauerman, Zavata, 2009; Stasinopoulos D, 2004; Fellander Tsai, Micheli, 1998). Electrical bone growth stimulation is not indicated for nonunion fractures where the bones are not aligned or a synovial pseudarthrosis exists, when the bone gap is more than one centimeter or greater than one-half the diameter of the bone, and for patients who are unable to be compliant with appropriate use of the device or treatment regimens. In contrast to ultrasound bone stimulation devices, there is insufficient evidence to support the effectiveness of these devices when used to enhance healing of fractures that are considered to be at high risk for delayed union or nonunion.

Stress fractures are a type of fracture that results from repeated stress to a bone which is generally less than the stress required to fracture the bone in a single episode. This type of fracture occurs typically in individuals who are athletic. Evidence in the peer-reviewed scientific literature evaluating electrical bone growth stimulators as a method to stimulate healing is mixed when used for treatment of stress fractures (Beck, et al., 2008; Benazzo, et al., 1995). However, stress fractures often occur in the lower extremities and involve navicular bones, tibia, tarsals, and metatarsal bones which may have compromised healing due to poor bloody supply. Treatment is initially aimed at rest and/or orthotic bracing for immobilization; treatment for delayed union/nonunion may require surgical intervention. Use of a bone growth stimulator may be an effective modality for treatment of a nonunion similar to other nonunion fractures, precluding surgical intervention.

Safety and effectiveness of electrical bone growth stimulation has not been established in the presence of bone pathology such as osteomyelitis, spondylitis, Paget's disease, metastatic cancer, advanced osteoporosis or arthritis, or for avascular or necrotic bone tissues. Patients lacking skeletal maturity, pregnant women and patients with demand pacemakers or implantable defibrillators are not candidates for electrical bone growth stimulator therapy. In addition fixation devices made from magnetic materials may compromise the effects of electric bone growth stimulators (Orthofix Inc., 2005).

Noninvasive Bone Growth Stimulators: Noninvasive bone growth stimulators use inductive and conductive methods to deliver a broad, uniform electric field, pulse electromagnetic field (PEMF), or combined electromagnetic (CMF) field to the fracture site via treatment coils or disks placed on the skin and attached to an external power supply. Direct electrical current has been shown to have a stimulatory effect on bone formation. The bulk of the scientific evidence demonstrating the efficacy of noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation addresses its use for nonunion fractures in long bones or as an adjunct to lumbar or lumbosacral spinal fusion. Evidence supporting noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation for failed lumbar fusion is limited; one multicenter case series (n=100) supported clinical efficacy of PEMF when used as a salvage treatment in individuals who had not experienced complete radiographic fusion at \geq nine months following lumbar fusion (Simmons, et al., 2004).

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA): Noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulators are class III devices approved by the FDA through the premarket approval process. Several FDA-approved devices are available, some which include the following: OL 1000[®] and SpinaLogic Bone Growth Stimulator[®] (Regentek, a division of dj Orthopedics, LLC (formerly OrthoLogic, Tempe, AZ); Physio-Stim Lite[®], Spinal-Stim Lite[®] (Orthofix, Inc., Richardson, TX); EBI Bone Healing System[®], SpinalPak[®], and OrthoPak[®] (Bioelectron, a subsidiary of Electro-Biology, Inc., Parsippany, NJ). FDA labeling and indications for specific devices vary. For example, the EBI Bone Healing System is indicated for the treatment of fracture nonunion, failed fusions, and congenital pseudoarthrosis of the appendicular skeletal system; SpinalPak is indicated as an adjunct electrical treatment to primary lumbar spinal fusion surgery for one or two levels. In 2004 the FDA granted PMA approval for Cervical-Stim[®] Model 505L Cervical Fusion System (Orthofix, Inc., McKinney, TX) as an adjunct to cervical fusion in subjects at high risk for non-fusion.

Literature Review: Evidence in the published scientific literature in the form of technology assessments, meta-analysis, randomized clinical trials, and both prospective and retrospective case series indicates there is a favorable impact on bone healing with the use of noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulators as a treatment for failed lumbar or lumbosacral fusions or fracture nonunion (Scott, et al., 1994; Abdeed, et al., 1998; Goodwin, et al., 1999; Akai, et al., 2002; AHRQ, 2005; Washington State Healthcare Authority, 2009; Gupta, et al, 2009). Although limited, there is some evidence to support clinical efficacy of a noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulator when used as a salvage treatment for failed lumbar fusion (Simmons, et al., 2004).

For cervical fusion the evidence in the peer-reviewed published literature is limited to an emerging technology evidence report (ECRI, 2013), a retrospective case series, and one randomized trial. Foley et al. (2008) published the results of an industry-sponsored investigational device exemption (IDE) study of pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF) stimulation (using Cervical-Stim[®] Model 505L Cervical Fusion System, Orthofix, Inc.) as an adjunct to anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) (n= 323). The study groups in this prospective randomized controlled trial consisted of individuals who were smokers and/or were undergoing multilevel cervical fusion and were randomized to receive PEMF following ACDF (n=163) or to receive only ACDF (n=160). Follow-up occurred at one, two, three, six and 12 months. It was noted that at six months the PEMF group had a significantly higher rate of fusion compared to the control group (83.6% versus 68.6%, p=.0065), however at 12 months there was no significant difference (92.8% versus 86.7%, p=.1129). At six

months loss to follow-up in the PEMF group was 25.1% (83 subjects) and 26.2% in the control group as a result of either voluntary withdrawal, violation of the study protocol, or radiographs deemed not evaluable. Loss to follow-up at 12 months was reported at 78/323 (24.1%) with no rationale. At both six and 12 months there were no differences in other outcome measures which included visual analogue pain scores, neck disability index scores, and SF-12 scores. No major adverse events were reported and the authors concluded use of the device was safe in their clinical setting. Limitations of the trial include high loss to follow-up and inclusion of only those at risk for poor healing (e.g., subjects who smoked or had multilevel fusions). Furthermore, the results of the clinical trial do not support a significant advantage for the improvement of net health outcomes (e.g., improved fusion rates, improved function) and additional studies are needed to support clinical efficacy and improved net health outcomes.

Invasive Bone Growth Stimulators: Invasive bone growth stimulators are implanted devices that deliver electrical energy to a nonhealing fracture or bone fusion site. The goal is to induce osteogenesis, stimulate bone growth and promote fracture healing. Invasive and semi-invasive devices use direct current that is delivered directly to the fracture site by way of an implanted electrode. The advantage of invasive electric bone growth systems over noninvasive systems is that a constant current is delivered to the fracture site without the concerns for patient compliance or cooperation.

Semi-invasive direct current stimulation uses a cathode implanted in the cortex of one end of the nonunion site and attached to an external power supply. An anode attached to the skin completes the electrical circuit. There are currently no FDA approved semi-invasive devices. Invasive direct current stimulation involves threading the cathode through or around the bone with the anode and power supply implanted in the surrounding soft tissue.

Invasive stimulators are indicated for nonunion of the tibia, femur and humerus. Invasive electrical bone stimulators have also been shown to be effective in promoting bone healing in high-risk individuals undergoing lumbar or lumbosacral spinal fusion. A high-risk patient is one with a prior fusion failure, who is undergoing a multi-level fusion, or a patient at risk for poor healing such as one who smokes, is obese or has diabetes. Evidence evaluating the use of invasive electrical devices for cervical fusion is lacking therefore conclusions regarding efficacy cannot be made.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA): There are many FDA approved invasive bone growth stimulator devices. Two FDA-approved implantable devices include the OsteoGen™ and the SpF® Implantable Spine Fusion Stimulator, manufactured by EBI (EBI L.P., Parsippany, NJ). The OsteoGen™ and OsteoGen™-D are designed for the treatment of fracture nonunion, with the latter model indicated only for use in multiple nonunions or severely comminuted fractures that require more than one electrode to facilitate treatment. Four models of the SpF Implantable Spine Fusion Stimulator are available. The SpF®-2T and SpF®-4T are indicated for fusion of one or two levels, while the SpF®-XL and SpF®-XL IIb are indicated for fusion of three or more levels. In 2003, EBI added the SpF®-PLUS to their product range. The FDA has also approved the Zimmer Direct Current Bone Growth Stimulator (Zimmer, Inc., Warsaw, IN) for the treatment of fracture nonunions.

Literature Review: Several of the studies evaluating electrical bone growth stimulators for the treatment of nonunion of long bones are in the form of case series, comparative trials with historical controls, or uncontrolled trials. Authors generally agree that electrical stimulation appears to be as effective as bone grafting and standard fixation methods for nonunion of fractures. Published technology assessments also support efficacy of these devices for healing nonunion fractures (AHRQ, 2005; Washington State Healthcare Authority, 2009). The American Association of Neurological Surgeons/Congress of Neurological Surgeons Joint Section on Disorders of the Spine and Peripheral Nerves published a practice guideline for the performance of fusion procedures for degenerative disease of the lumbar spine which supports the use of electrical bone growth stimulators as an adjunct to spinal fusion (Resnick, et al., 2005). Furthermore, other clinical studies published in the peer-reviewed, scientific literature (Rogozinski, et al., 1996; Kucharzyk, et al., 1999) and a health technology assessment (Hotta, 1994) support higher fusion rates and clinical success with the use of electrical bone stimulators as an adjunct to spinal fusion.

Use Outside of the US: Recommendations regarding the use of the bone growth stimulation devices in countries outside the United States are available. For example, the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) issued a procedural guidance for the Exogen ultrasound bone healing system for long bone fractures with non-union or delayed healing (NICE, 2013). According to the guidance based on a review of the available evidence and committee opinion NICE found the evidence sufficient to support efficacy and utility for

the use of Exogen for the treatment of long bone fractures with non-union; the evidence did not support use of the device for treating fractures with delayed union. NICE also published a procedural guidance which supports the use of low intensity pulsed ultrasound to promote fracture healing (NICE, 2010). In addition, regulatory device approval was found for some of the bone growth stimulation devices in countries outside the U.S, including but not limited to Europe (e.g., CE mark certification for CervicalStim) and Canada.

Summary

Evidence in the peer-reviewed published scientific literature supports the safety and efficacy of ultrasound bone growth stimulator devices to accelerate healing of a specific subset of fresh fractures and as a treatment for fracture nonunion. The published scientific literature supports safety and efficacy of electrical bone growth stimulation devices for the treatment of nonunion and when used as an adjunct to lumbar spinal fusion procedures when there is risk for nonunion. Data suggests that when used for these indications, these devices induce osteogenesis, stimulate bone growth, and promote healing of bone.

Evidence in the peer-reviewed, published scientific literature is lacking and does not support the clinical utility of bone growth stimulation for the treatment of any other indication.

Coding/Billing Information

Note: 1) This list of codes may not be all-inclusive.

2) Deleted codes and codes which are not effective at the time the service is rendered may not be eligible for reimbursement.

Ultrasound Bone Growth Stimulator

Covered when medically necessary:

CPT ^{®*} Codes	Description
20979	Low intensity ultrasound stimulation to aid bone healing, noninvasive (nonoperative)

HCPCS Codes	Description
E0760	Osteogenesis stimulator, low intensity ultrasound, noninvasive

Electrical Bone Growth Stimulator: Non-spinal (Invasive, Non-invasive)

Covered when medically necessary:

CPT ^{®*} Codes	Description
20974	Electrical stimulation to aid bone healing; noninvasive (nonoperative)
20975	Electrical stimulation to aid bone healing; invasive (operative)

HCPCS Codes	Description
E0747	Osteogenesis stimulator; electrical, noninvasive, other than spinal applications
E0749	Osteogenesis stimulator; electrical, surgically implanted

Electrical Bone Growth Stimulator: Spinal (Invasive/Noninvasive)

Covered when medically necessary when used as an adjunct to lumbar spinal fusion surgery associated with an increased risk for fusion failure or for a failed lumbar fusion:

CPT®* Codes	Description
20974	Electrical stimulation to aid bone healing; noninvasive (nonoperative)
20975	Electrical stimulation to aid bone healing; invasive (operative)

HCPCS Codes	Description
E0748	Osteogenesis stimulator; electrical, noninvasive, spinal applications
E0749	Osteogenesis stimulator; electrical, surgically implanted

Experimental/Investigational/Unproven/Not Covered when used as an adjunct to cervical spinal fusion surgery:

CPT®* Codes	Description
20974	Electrical stimulation to aid bone healing; noninvasive (nonoperative)
20975	Electrical stimulation to aid bone healing; invasive (operative)

HCPCS Codes	Description
E0748	Osteogenesis stimulator; electrical, noninvasive, spinal applications
E0749	Osteogenesis stimulator; electrical, surgically implanted

*Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) © 2015 American Medical Association: Chicago, IL.

References

1. Abeed R, Naseer M, Abel E. Capacitively coupled electrical stimulation treatment: results from patients with failed long bone fracture unions. J Orth Trauma.1998 Sep -Oct;12(7):510-3.
2. Adie, S, Harris, IA, Naylor, JM, Rae, H, Dao, A, Yong, S, and Ying, V. Pulsed electromagnetic field stimulation for acute tibial shaft fractures: a multicenter, double-blind, randomized trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011;93(17):1569-1576.
3. Akai M, Kawashima N, Kimura T, Hayashi K. Electrical stimulation as an adjunct to spinal fusion: a meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials. Bioelectromagnetics. 2002 Oct;23(7):496-504.
4. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). The role of bone growth stimulating devices and orthobiologics in healing nonunion fractures. Technology assessment program. Prepared by ECRI Evidence-based Practice Center (EPC).September 21, 2005. Accessed March 23, 2016. Available at URL address: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK285118/>
5. American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS). Nonunions. March 2005. Updated September 2007. Reviewed March 2014. Accessed March 28, 2016. Available at URL address: <http://orthoinfo.aaos.org/topic.cfm?topic=A00374>
6. American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS).Physical fields. October 2002. Accessed March 28, 2016. Available at URL address: <http://orthoinfo.aaos.org/topic.cfm?topic=A00279>
7. Beck BR, Matheson GO, Bergman G, Norling T, Fredericson M, Hoffman AR, Marcus R. Do capacitively coupled electric fields accelerate tibial stress fracture healing? A randomized controlled trial. Am J Sports Med. 2008 Mar;36(3):545-53. Epub 2007 Nov 30.
8. Bederka B, Amendola A. Stress fractures of the leg. In: DeLee: DeLees and Drez's Orthopaedic Sports Medicine, 3rd ed. Ch 24. Copyright © 2009 Saunders.

9. Benazzo F, Mosconi M, Beccarisi G, Galli U. Use of capacitive coupled electric fields in stress fractures in athletes. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1995 Jan;(310):145-9.
10. Bora F, Osterman A, Woodbury D, Brighton C. Treatment of nonunion of the scaphoid by direct current. *Orthop Clinics of N Am.* 1984 Jan;15(1):107-113.
11. Busse J, Bhandari M, Kulkarni A, Tunks E. The effect of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound therapy on time to fracture healing: a meta-analysis. *CMAJ* 2002 Feb;166(4):437-41.
12. Busse JW, Kaur J, Mollon B, Bhandari M, Tornetta P 3rd, Schünemann HJ, Guyatt GH. Low intensity pulsed ultrasonography for fractures: systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ.* 2009 Feb 27;338:b351.
13. Cook S, Ryaby J, McCabe J, Frey J, Heckman J, Kristiansen T. Acceleration of time and distal radius fracture healing in patients who smoke. *Clin Orthop* 1997 Apr;(337):198-207.
14. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. National Coverage Policy Revision. Electrical stimulation for fracture healing (CAG-00022). Decision Memorandum. July 2000.
15. Coords M, Breitbart E, Paglia D, Kappy N, Gandhi A, Cottrell J, Cedeno N, Pounder N, O'Connor JP, Lin SS. The effects of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound upon diabetic fracture healing. *J Orthop Res.* 2011 Feb;29(2):181-8.
16. DiGiovanni CW, Benirschke SK, Hansen ST. Stress fractures. In: Browner BD, Jupiter JB, Levine AM, Trafton PG, editors; Browner: *Skeletal Trauma: Basic Science, Management, and Reconstruction*, 4th ed., Copyright © 2008. Ch 61 Foot Injuries.
17. Dijkman BG, Sprague S, Bhandari M. Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound: Nonunions. *Indian J Orthop.* 2009 Apr;43(2):141-8.
18. EBI Medical. Implantable Spinal Fusion Stimulator. Accessed February 26, 2008. Available at URL address: <http://www.ebimedical.com/products/detail.cfm?p=090300>
19. Feingold D, Hame S. Female athlete triad and stress fractures. *Orthop Clin N Am.* 2006 Oct;37(4):575-83.
20. Fellander-Tsai L, Micheli LJ. Treatment of spondylolysis with external electrical stimulation and bracing in adolescent athletes: a report of two cases. *Clin J Sport Med.* 1998 Jul;8(3):232-4.
21. Foley KT, Mroz TE, Arnold PM, Chandler HC Jr, Dixon RA, Girasole GJ, Renkens KL Jr, Riew KD, Sasso RC, Smith RC, Tung H, Wecht DA, Whiting DM. Randomized, prospective, and controlled clinical trial of pulsed electromagnetic field stimulation for cervical fusion. *Spine J.* 2008 May-Jun;8(3):436-42.
22. Goldstein C, Sprague S, Petrisor BA. Electrical stimulation for fracture healing: current evidence. *J Orthop Trauma.* 2010 Mar;24 Suppl 1:S62-5.
23. Goodwin C, Brighton C, Guyer R, Johnson J, Light K, Yuan H. A double blind study of capacitively coupled electrical stimulation as an adjunct to lumbar spinal fusions. *Spine* 1999 Jul;24(13):1349-56;discussion 1357.
24. Gupta AK, Srivastava KP, Avasthi S. Pulsed electromagnetic stimulation in nonunion of tibial diaphyseal fractures. *Indian J Orthop.* 2009 Apr-Jun; 43(2): 156–160.
25. Hannemann PF, Göttgens KW, van Wely BJ, Kolkman KA, Werre AJ, Poeze M, Brink PR. The clinical and radiological outcome of pulsed electromagnetic field treatment for acute scaphoid fractures: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2012 Oct;94(10):1403-8.

26. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Pocket Summary. Ultrasound Bone Growth Stimulation. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; September 3, 2015.
27. Heckman J, Ryaby J, McCabe J, Frey J, Kilcoyne R. Acceleration of tibial fracture-healing by noninvasive, low-intensity pulsed ultrasound. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 1994 Jan;76(1):26-34.
28. Hockenbury RT, Gruttadauria M, McKinney I. Use of implantable bone growth stimulation in Charcot ankle arthrodesis. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2007 Sep;28(9):971-6.
29. Hotta S. Electrical bone-growth stimulation and spinal fusion. Health technology assessment review No. 8. 1998. Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (AHCPR) Pub No. 94-0014. Accessed March 9, 2012. Available at URL address: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/bv.fcgi?rid=hstat6.chapter.44092>
30. Jones MH, Amendola AS. Navicular stress fractures. *Clin Sports Med.* 2006 Jan;25(1):151-8.
31. Kaiser MG, Eck JC, Groff MW, Ghogawala Z, Watters WC 3rd, Dailey AT, Resnick DK, Choudhri TF, Sharan A, Wang JC, Dhall SS, Mummaneni PV. Guideline update for the performance of fusion procedures for degenerative disease of the lumbar spine. Part 17: bone growth stimulators as an adjunct for lumbar fusion. *J Neurosurg Spine.* 2014 Jul;21(1):133-9.
32. Kristiansen T, Ryaby J, McCabe J, Frey J, Roe L. Accelerated healing of distal radial fractures with the use of specific, low-intensity ultrasound. A multicenter, prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 1997 Jul;79(7):961-73.
33. Kucharzyk D. A controlled prospective outcome study of implantable electrical stimulation with spinal instrumentation in a high-risk spinal fusion population. *Spine* 1999 Mar;24(5):465-8;discussion 469.
34. Laueran WC, Zavata JA. Thoracolumbar injuries. In DeLee: DeLee and Drez's Orthopaedic Sports Medicine, 3rd ed. CH 16. Copyright © 2009 Saunders.
35. Leung KS, Lee WS, Tsui HF, Liu PP, Cheung WH. Complex tibial fracture outcomes following treatment with low-intensity pulsed ultrasound. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2004 Mar;30(3):389-95.
36. Mollon B, da Silva V, Busse JW, Einhorn TA, Bhandari M. Electrical stimulation for long-bone fracture-healing: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2008 Nov;90(11):2322-30.
37. Moucha CS, Einhorn TA. Enhancement of skeletal repair. In: Browner BD, Jupiter JB, Levine AM, Trafton PG, editors. *Browner: Skeletal Trauma: Basic Science, Management, and Reconstruction*, 3rd ed., Copyright © 2003. Chapter 22.
38. Nishihara R. The dilemmas of a scaphoid fracture: a difficult diagnosis for primary care physicians. *Hospital Physician.* 2000 Mar;24-40.
39. Nolte P, van der Krans A, Patka P, Janssen I, Ryaby J, Albers G. Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound in the treatment of nonunions. *J Trauma* 2001 Oct;51(4):693-703;discussion 702-3.
40. Nunley JA. Fractures of the base of the fifth metatarsal. The Jones fracture. *Orthop Clin North Am.* 2001 Jan;32(1):171-80.
41. Orthofix. Bone growth stimulators. Spinal Stim. Accessed March 28, 2016. Available at URL address: <http://web.orthofix.com/Products/Pages/Spinal-Stim.aspx>
42. Pettine KA, Salib RM, Walker SG. External electrical stimulation and bracing for treatment of spondylolysis. A case report. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 1993 Mar 15;18(4):436-9.
43. Raasch WG, Hergan DJ. Treatment of stress fractures: The fundamentals. *Clin Sports Med.* 2006 Jan;25(1):29-36.

44. Resnick DK, Choudhri TF, Dailey AT, Groff MW, Khoo L, Matz PG, et al. American Association of Neurological Surgeons/Congress of Neurological Surgeons. Guidelines for the performance of fusion procedures for degenerative disease of the lumbar spine. Part 17: bone growth stimulators and lumbar fusion. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2005 Jun;2(6):737-40.
45. Ricardo M. The effect of ultrasound on the healing of muscle-pediculated bone graft in scaphoid non-union. *Int Orthop*. 2006 Apr;30(2):123-7.
46. Rogozinski A, Rogozinski C. Efficacy of implanted bone growth stimulation in instrumented lumbosacral spinal fusion. *Spine* 1996 Nov;21(21):2479-83.
47. Rubin C, Bolander M, Ryaby JP, Hadjiargyrou M. The use of low-intensity ultrasound to accelerate the healing of fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg*. 2001 Feb;83-A(2):259-70.
48. Rue JP, Armstrong DW III, Frassica FJ, Deafenbaugh M, Wilckens JH. The effect of pulsed ultrasound in the treatment of tibial stress fractures. *Orthopedics*. 2004;27(11):1192-1195.
49. Scott G, King J. A prospective, double blind trial of electrical capacitive coupling in the treatment of nonunion long bones. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1994 Jun;76(6):820-6.
50. Schofer MD, Block JE, Aigner J, Schmelz A. Improved healing response in delayed unions of the tibia with low-intensity pulsed ultrasound: results of a randomized sham-controlled trial. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2010 Oct 8;11:229.
51. Simmons JW Jr, Mooney V, Thacker I. Pseudarthrosis after lumbar spine fusion: nonoperative salvage with pulsed electromagnetic fields. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)*. 2004 Jan;33(1):27-30.
52. Stasinopoulos D. Treatment of spondylolysis with external electrical stimulation in young athletes: a critical literature review. *Br J Sports Med*. 2004 Jun;38(3):352-4.
53. Syrmou E, Tsitsopoulos PP, Marinopoulos D, Tsonidis C, Anagnostopoulos I, Tsitsopoulos PD. Spondylolysis: a review and reappraisal. *Hippokratia*. 2010 Jan;14(1):17-21.
54. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH). Exogen 2000[®] or Sonic Accelerated Fracture healing System. Summary of safety and effectiveness. Updated November 5, 2000. Accessed March 28, 2016. Available at URL address: <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfTopic/pma/pma.cfm?num=p900009s006>
55. U.S. National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program, with Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, U.S.A. Anatomy and physiology: classification of bones. Accessed March 28, 2016. Available at URL address: <http://training.seer.cancer.gov/anatomy/>
56. Washington State Health Care Authority. Health Technology Assessment, Bone Growth Stimulators. July 2009. Accessed March 28, 2016. Available at URL address: http://www.hta.hca.wa.gov/documents/bgs_final_report_073109_updated.pdf
57. Watanabe Y, Matsushita T, Bhandari M, Zdero R, Schemitsch. Ultrasound for fracture healing: current evidence. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2010 Mar;24(3):S56-S61.
58. Wood, GW II. General principles of fracture treatment. In: Canale TS, editor. *Canale: Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics*, 10th ed., Copyright © 2003. Chapter 50. Part XV Fractures and dislocations.
59. Yan SG, Huang LY, Cai XZ. Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound: a potential non-invasive therapy for femoral head osteonecrosis. *Med Hypotheses*. 2011 Jan;76(1):4-7.
60. Young AJ, McAllister DR. Evaluation and treatment of tibial stress fractures. *Clin Sports Med*. 2006 Jan;25(1):117-28.

61. Zura RD, Sasser B, Sabesan V, Pietrobon R, Tucker MC, Olson SA. A survey of orthopaedic traumatologists concerning the use of bone growth stimulators. J Surg Orthop Adv. 2007 Spring;16(1):1-4.

The registered marks "Cigna" and the "Tree of Life" logo are owned by Cigna Intellectual Property, Inc., licensed for use by Cigna Corporation and its operating subsidiaries. All products and services are provided by or through such operating subsidiaries and not by Cigna Corporation. Such operating subsidiaries include Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, Cigna Health and Life Insurance Company, Cigna Behavioral Health, Inc., Cigna Health Management, Inc., and HMO or service company subsidiaries of Cigna Health Corporation.